i. e., who obeyeth no one. (L.) ____ بُلُتُ شُهُا, aor. يُلِيتُ, He concealed a thing that he knew, and told, or narrated, something different from it. (TA, art. لوت, q. v.) بركته الم aor. يُليتُ, inf. n. يُليتُ, He expressed to him the news, or information, obscurely, or enigmatically or obscured it to him, or concealed it from him so accord. to As, and the like is said in the L: يَلُوتَ , sor. لَاتُهُ but accord to some, the verb is q. v., in art. لوت . (TA, art. وُلَاتُ ـــ (لوت occurring in the Kur, [xxxviii. 2,] حين مناص (S,) [there meaning, accord. to the general opinion, When (it, or the time,) was not a time of flight: in other cases, and (it, or the time,) is, or was, not a time of flight]. つり is here likened to لَيْسَ ; and the name of the agent is understood. (S. K.) So says Akh, accord, to J; but this is the opinion of Sb: so in the margin of some copies of the S. (TA.) Or is originally); and the - is added, as in ثُمَّتُ [in the رَبَّتَ El-Muärrij, Ş, K,) and رُبَّتَ (El-Muärrij, S.) - With respect to the proper meaning and etymology of in there are four opinions. First, that it is a single word, a verb in the pret. tense: and some say, that it is originally زُتُ in the sense of نَقُصَ, and afterwards used as a negative, like قُلّ so says Aboo-Dharr El-Khushanee, in his Expos. of the Book of Sb: others, that it is originally لَيسَ ; that its س is into I, because ع changed into , and then the it is movent and preceded by fet-hah: so says Abu-r-Rabeea. Second, that it is two words, the negative y, with the fem. -, added to make the word fem., as say IHsh and Er-Radee, or to render the negation more intensive, as is said in the Expos. of the Kutz by the author of the latter work: and this is the general opinion. Third, that it is an independent word, not originally nor Ŋ; as related by the sheykh Aboo-Ishák Esh-Shátibee alone. Fourth, that it is a word and a part of a word, namely the negative ک, and ت prefixed to حین; which opinion is ascribed to A'Obeyd [as is mentioned in the S] and Ibn-El-Taraweh: the former of whom argues in favour of this opinion from the fact that is found so prefixed in Othman's copy of the Kur-an; but this is no proof, because there are found in the writing of that copy things at variance with analogy. (TA.) تُحينَ [however] occurs, without =>>, in the following verse of Aboo-Wejzeh:

العَاطِفُونَ تَحينَ مَا مِنْ عَاطِف وَالْمُطْعَمُونَ زَمَانَ أَيْنَ الْمُطْعَمُ

[The persons who act affectionately in the time when there is none (other) that acts affectionately; and the feeders in the time when (it is said) Where is the feeder?] (S.) The general opinion is favoured by the following facts: that J is

pronounced in a case of pause in and in: that it is written separately from حين: and that it is sometimes written ヴ, with kesreh to the ご, as is mentioned by Z, agreeably with the fundamental rule with respect to the concurrence of two quiescent letters [when followed by a conjunctive 1]; whereas, were it a verb in the pret. tense, there would be no reason for its being written with kesreh: it is also written ゴゾ, with dammeh to the : and both these variations occur in readings of the Kur-án: but أَرْتُ with fet-hah to the -, is the most common. (TA.) With respect to its government there are also four opinions. First, that it has no government: that if a noun in the nom. case follow it, it is put in that case as an inchoative of which [as is mentioned in the S] the enunciative is suppressed; and that if a noun in the acc. case follow it, it is put in that case as an objective complement of a verb suppressed; which is the opinion of Akh; the meaning of حین مناص لاحينُ مَنَاصِ كَائنٌ لَهُرْ ,heing, in the former case [A place of flight not existing for them; which does not imply that there was none for others; as y here has the force of a particular, not a general, negation]; and in the latter case, the meaning being, مَنَاصِ مَنَاصِ [I see not a time of flight]. Second, that it governs in the same manner as إِنَّ which is another opinion of Akh and the Koofees. Third, that it is a particle governing the gen. case; an opinion ascribed to Fr by Er-Radee and IHsh and others. Fourth, that it governs like لَيْسُ and this is the general opinion; but IHsh restricts it by two conditions; that the two nouns which it governs must be significant of time, and that one of them must be suppressed. (TA.) [It is generally the subject, rarely the predicate, that is suppressed.] ___ زيا [when it has grammatical government] does not occur without حين [or, as many say, some word syn. therewith, as وَقْت &c.]. (S, K.) So says Akh, accord. to J; but this is the assertion of Sb; because the latter holds it to have the same government as إليس; whereas Akh assigns to it no government [as explained above]. (IB.) But [it is said that] is sometimes suppressed, (in poetry, S, [or in prose,]) though meant to be understood; as in the following saying of Mázin Ibn-Málik, [respecting 'Abd-Shems, surnamed Makrooa, the son of Saad the son of Zeyd-Menah the son of Temeem, and respecting Heyjumaneh the daughter of El-'Ambar the son of 'Amr the son of Temeem, (S, art. قرع,) who was enamoured وَحُنَّتُ وَلَاتَ هَنَّتُ وَأَنَّى لَكِ مَقْرُوعٌ [,of Makrooa [And she conceived a longing desire; but it was not a time for her conceiving such a desire. And how (O Heyjumáneh) should Makroog be thine? See Freytag, Arab. Prov. i. 343 and ii. 525.] (S, K.) This, however, is said to be not poetry but a prose-example. (TA.) Moreover, it is the ear: or the parts of the neck beneath the

observed, that instance, has no government, and that a word signifying time is not meant to be understood after it: [so that the meaning is, And she conceived a longing desire, but it was as though she did not conceive such a desire :] (MF.) for when >> has government, the subject and predicate cannot both be suppressed. (AHci, MF.)

4: see 1.

a word denoting a wish [signifying Would that -; I wish that -; [§, K;) generally relating to a thing that is impossible; rarely to a thing that is possible: (IHsh, K:) governing the subject in the acc. case, and the predicate in the nom. case, (Ş, K,) like كُأُنَّ (or [rather] إِنَّ , MF) and its coordinates, because it resembles verbs in their force as words, [being composed of at least three letters, and the last being meftoohah, and in their admitting most of the pronouns as affixes, and in their meanings. (S.) Ex. أَهْ زَيْدُا أَهْ (Would that Zeyd لَيْتُنِي فَعَلْتُ كَذَا وَكَذَا وَكَذَا and لَيْتُنِي فَعَلْتُ كَذَا وَكَذَا [Would that I had done so and so.] (TA.) You say لَيْتَنِي as well as لَيْتِي, (Ṣ, Ķ,) like and إِنَّنِي and إِنِّي and إِنِّي and يَعَلَّنِي (\$:) but is more common than ليتنى; whereas is less common than لعلني. (TA.) You also say يَا لَيْتَ O, would that --.] As to the saving of the poet,

يَا لَيْتَ أَيَّامَ الصِّبَا رُوَاجِعَا

meaning لَنَا رُوَاحِعُ, [O, would that the days of youth were returning (to us) !] رواجع is put in the acc. case therein as a word descriptive of state: (S:) or it is governed in the acc. case by a verb understood, as أَقْبَلَتْ, or عَادَتْ, or some other verb suitable to the meaning: so says Sb: in the above verse may be used ليت in the manner of وَجُدْتُ [see below], (Ṣ,) for وَجُدْتُ is sometimes used in the manner of ليت [I found], (Fr, S, K,) in government, not in meaning, (MF,) as related by the grammarians on the authority of certain of the Arabs, so that it is doubly transitive, and used in the manner of verbs: (Ṣ:) you say, لَيْتُ زُيْدُا شَاخصًا [Would that Zeyd were going away, &c.]: (S, K:) this is done to give intensiveness: one says, for Would that Zeyd) لَيْتَ زَبُدًا قَائِهًا ,Would that Zeyd were standing) putting both the subject and the predicate in the acc. case. (Msb.) ___ : see De Sacy's Gr. Ar. ii. 63. __ See also an ex. of ليت as a subst. مَوْفَ as a subst. مَوْفَ

ليتًان The side of the nech: (Ş, K :) or the ليتًا are the lower parts of the two sides of the neck, upon which the earrings hang down, behind the two projections of the jum-bones that are beneath